Hexanedioic acid: Human health tier II assessment

22 March 2013

CAS Number: 124-04-9

- Preface
- Chemical Identity
- Import, Manufacture and Use
- Restrictions
- Existing Work Health and Safety Controls
- Health Hazard Information
- Risk Characterisation
- NICNAS Recommendation
- References

Preface

This assessment was carried out by staff of the National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) using the Inventory Multi-tiered Assessment and Prioritisation (IMAP) framework.

The IMAP framework addresses the human health and environmental impacts of previously unassessed industrial chemicals listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (the Inventory).

The framework was developed with significant input from stakeholders and provides a more rapid, flexible and transparent approach for the assessment of chemicals listed on the Inventory.

Stage One of the implementation of this framework, which lasted four years from 1 July 2012, examined 3000 chemicals meeting characteristics identified by stakeholders as needing priority assessment. This included chemicals for which NICNAS already held exposure information, chemicals identified as a concern or for which regulatory action had been taken overseas, and chemicals detected in international studies analysing chemicals present in babies' umbilical cord blood.

Stage Two of IMAP began in July 2016. We are continuing to assess chemicals on the Inventory, including chemicals identified as a concern for which action has been taken overseas and chemicals that can be rapidly identified and assessed by using Stage One information. We are also continuing to publish information for chemicals on the Inventory that pose a low risk to human health or the environment or both. This work provides efficiencies and enables us to identify higher risk chemicals requiring assessment.

The IMAP framework is a science and risk-based model designed to align the assessment effort with the human health and environmental impacts of chemicals. It has three tiers of assessment, with the assessment effort increasing with each tier. The Tier I assessment is a high throughput approach using tabulated electronic data. The Tier II assessment is an evaluation of risk on a substance-by-substance or chemical category-by-category basis. Tier III assessments are conducted to address specific concerns that could not be resolved during the Tier II assessment.

These assessments are carried out by staff employed by the Australian Government Department of Health and the Australian Government Department of the Environment and Energy. The human health and environment risk assessments are conducted and published separately, using information available at the time, and may be undertaken at different tiers.



IMAP Single Assessment Report

This chemical or group of chemicals are being assessed at Tier II because the Tier I assessment indicated that it needed further investigation.

For more detail on this program please visit:www.nicnas.gov.au

Disclaimer

NICNAS has made every effort to assure the quality of information available in this report. However, before relying on it for a specific purpose, users should obtain advice relevant to their particular circumstances. This report has been prepared by NICNAS using a range of sources, including information from databases maintained by third parties, which include data supplied by industry. NICNAS has not verified and cannot guarantee the correctness of all information obtained from those databases. Reproduction or further distribution of this information may be subject to copyright protection. Use of this information without obtaining the permission from the owner(s) of the respective information might violate the rights of the owner. NICNAS does not take any responsibility whatsoever for any copyright or other infringements that may be caused by using this information.

Acronyms & Abbreviations

Chemical Identity

Synonyms	Adipic acid 1,4-Butanedicarboxylic acid 1,6-Hexanedioic acid Adipinic acid	
Structural Formula	HO HO OH	
Molecular Formula	C6H10O4	
Molecular Weight (g/mol)	146.141	
Appearance and Odour (where available)	White, odourless, crystalline solid. In crystalline form, the substance appears colourless, while as a powder, it appears white.	
SMILES	C(=O)(O)CCCCC(=O)O	

Import, Manufacture and Use

Australian

The chemical has reported commercial use in Australia as an oxidising agent. The reported introduced volume in 2006 was between 100 and 1000 tonnes (NICNAS, 2006).

International

The following international uses have been identified via the European Union Registration Evaluation Authorisation of Chemicals (EU REACH) Dossiers, Galleria Chemica, the Substances in Preparations in the Nordic countries (SPIN) database, the Cosmetic Ingredients and Substances (CosIng) database, Personal Care Council Website (INCI Dictionary) and through eChemPortal (the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) HPV, the Aggregated Computer Toxicology Resource (ACToR) and the Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB)).

The chemical has reported cosmetic use as:

- a buffering and masking agent; and
- a fragrance ingredient and pH adjuster, e.g. in permanent wave products.

The chemical has reported domestic use including:

- adhesives, binding agents;
- cleaning and washing agents, e.g. in the production of dish washing machine tablets; and
- paints, lacquers and varnishes.

The chemical has reported commercial use including:

- solvents and softeners;
- pH and process regulation agents;
- construction materials and flux agents for casting or joining materials; and
- leather tanning, dye, finishing, impregnation and care products.

The chemical has reported site-limited use including:

- oil and gas extraction: flue gas desulphurisation, in products such as flocculants, precipitants and neutralisation agents; and
- intermediate use in the production of lubricating oil additives and polymer preparations.

Restrictions

Australian

No known restrictions are available.

International

No known restrictions are available.

Existing Work Health and Safety Controls

Hazard Classification

IMAP Single Assessment Report

The chemical is classified as hazardous with the following risk phrases in the Hazardous Substances Information System (HSIS) (Safe Work Australia):

Xi; R36 (Irritating to eyes)

Exposure Standards

Australian

No specific exposure standards are available.

International

The following are identified (Galleria Chemica):

TWA: 5 mg/m³ (Canada, Denmark, Indonesia, Iceland, Ireland, Poland, Malaysia, Singapore, Spain, USA)

STEL: 10 mg/m3 (Canada, Poland)

Health Hazard Information

Toxicokinetics

Oral administration by gavage of radiolabelled adipic acid to fasted rats resulted in 70% of the dose being exhaled as CO2. It was also detected in the urine along with identified metabolites such as urea, glutamic acid, lactic acid, beta-ketoadipic acid, and citric acid. It was found that adipic acid is metabolised by beta-oxidation, similarly to fatty acids, with acetate being identified as a metabolite (OECD, 2006).

Human studies (seven volunteers received 7 g of adipic acid over 10 days) have shown that 15-75% of the orally administered dose was found unchanged in the urine (OECD, 2006).

Acute Toxicity

Oral

The chemical is reported to have low acute toxicity via the oral route (LD50 = 5560 mg/kg bw in rats and 1900 mg/kg bw in mice) (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

In a study (similar to OECD TG 401) conducted on Sprague Dawley rats with a gavage dose up to 10,000 mg/kg bw of adipic acid (99.8% as a 50% suspension in carboxymethyl cellulose), mortalities were seen during the first 48 hours. Lethal doses were reported to cause acute dilation of the heart and acute congestive hyperanaemia, glandular stomach ulceration, paleness of the liver and reddening of intestinal mucosa. No gross pathological changes were observed in animals that survived to termination at 14 days. An LD50 of 5560 mg/kg bw was established (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

In another experiment, a single dose of 5000 mg/kg bw of adipic acid (33.3% suspension in 0.85% saline) to ten male rats caused no signs of toxicity (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

In mice (13 animals per sex per dose), oral administration of 1500, 2000, and 2500 mg/kg bw of adipic acid (6% suspension in 0.5% methyl cellulose) resulted in an LD50 value of 1900 mg/kg bw. Mortalities were observed in all test doses (3/13 in 1500 mg/kg bw group; 8/13 in 2000 mg/kg bw group; 9/13 in 2500 mg/kg bw group). Autopsy of animals that died showed distention

IMAP Single Assessment Report

of the stomach and small intestine with spastic contraction of the caecum. Intestinal haemorrhage and irritation were also observed (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Dermal

The chemical is reported to be of low acute toxicity via the dermal route (LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw) (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Adipic acid was tested in a 24-hour dermal exposure under occlusive conditions as a 40% solution in corn oil. New Zealand White rabbits were exposed to 5010 mg/kg bw (n=1) or 7940 mg/kg bw (n=2) of adipic acid. No mortalities were observed in either dose group. Animals showed reduced appetite and activity. Necropsy after 14 days' observation showed normal viscera (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Inhalation

The chemical is reported to be of low acute toxicity via the inhalation route (LC50 >7.7 mg/L in rats) (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

In a study comparable to the OECD TG 403, Sprague Dawley rats (10 animals per sex per dose) were exposed for 4 hours (nose only) to 7.7 mg/L of adipic acid (99.8 %) dust. No mortalities were observed in any of the test group. No change in body weight nor changes in gross pathology were observed during the 14 days observation period. It was determined that the LC50 of adipic acid is >7.7 mg/L in air (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Corrosion / Irritation

Respiratory Irritation

No data are available.

Skin Irritation

The chemical is reported to be a slight skin irritant in rabbits. It was a moderate irritant in scarified skin (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012). The irritation scores reported do not warrant a hazard classification.

Vienna White rabbits (n=6) received occlusive application of adipic acid (0.5 g of a 50% aqueous suspension) for 24 hours. The test area was observed after 24 hours, 3 days, and 8 days post application. Reversible reddening was observed at the intact skin but disappeared after three days. Mild to severe reddening and oedema were observed at the scarified skin, but were reversible after one week. The mean erythema score was 1.1 and the mean oedema score was 0 between the 24 and 72 hour time point (OECD 2006; REACH, 2012).

On another study conducted on rabbits (n=2), adipic acid (99.8% or 80% aqueous paste) was applied occlusively on intact skin (back and ear) for 20 hours. The test area was observed after 24 hours, 3 days, and 8 days post-application. Reversible reddening of the ear was observed after 20 hours but disappeared after 72 hours. The Draize scores for mean erythema and oedema were 0 at 24, 48, and 72 hour time points (OECD 2006; REACH, 2012).

Eye Irritation

The chemical is currently classified as hazardous with the risk phrase 'Irritating to eyes' (Xi; R36) in HSIS (Safe Work Australia). Based on the data available (mean iris lesion score of 1.8 in Himalayan rabbits), the existing hazard classification requires amendment to indicate serious eye damage.

The chemical is reported to be a severe eye irritant in rabbits (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

IMAP Single Assessment Report

In an experiment conducted on Himalayan rabbits (n=3) according to the OECD Guideline 405 in compliance with GLP, the chemical (100 mg, >99.8% purity) was applied for 24 h with an observation period up to 21 days post application. Severe irritation of the iris and corneal opacity was observed in all animals that were tested. The results were summarised as follows: mean cornea score = 2.3 (fully reversible within 16 days); mean iris score = 1.8 (fully reversible within 9 days); mean conjunctival score = 1 (fully reversible within 13 days); mean chemosis score = 1 (fully reversible within 12 days) (OECD 2006, REACH, 2012).

In another study, the chemical (0.1 mL, 99.8% purity) was applied to rabbit eyes (n=6), which were examined 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 8 days post application. Symptoms included: irritation of the conjunctiva, scar formation, and inflammation of the iris. The results were summarised as follows: mean cornea score = 1.3 (not reversible within 8 days); mean iris score = 0.83 (not reversible within 8 days); mean conjunctival redness score = 2 (not reversible within 8 days); mean chemosis score = 2 (not reversible within 8 days); OECD 2006, REACH, 2012).

Observation in humans

The exposure to the chemical may have caused mucosal irritation in 7 out of 12 workers. However, workers did not wear respiratory protection and they were also exposed to other chemicals such as various glycols and glycerine (OECD, 2006).

Workers exposed over an extensive period (average 9.2 years) complained of respiratory irritation at concentrations of 0.47-0.79 mg/m³ of the chemical. Due to the acidic character of the substance, a local irritation potential is plausible (OECD, 2006).

Based on the information available, classification as a respiratory irritant is warranted.

Sensitisation

Skin Sensitisation

The chemical is not a skin sensitiser.

In an experiment that was conducted on male albino guinea pigs (n=10 per dose), four intradermal injections (one per week over a three-week period) of adipic acid [0.1 mL of a 1.0% (w/v) solution] were administered as the initial induction exposure to the chemical. After a two week rest period, approximately 0.05 mL of 50% and 25% suspensions of adipic acid in propylene glycol was applied on the shaved intact shoulder skin of the test and control animals. The chemical produced very mild to no skin irritation, but it did not cause sensitisation (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Repeated Dose Toxicity

Oral

The chemical is of low chronic toxicity via the oral route.

In a two year study that was conducted on Carworth Farm strain rats (19-20 males or females per group), adipic acid was administered with the basal laboratory diet at doses of approximately 0, 75, 750, 2250, 3750 mg/kg bw/day. The percent survival for each group was higher than the control group. No treatment related effects were observed during necropsy. The NOAEL was determined to be approximately 750 mg/kg bw/day (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

In a 33 weeks study in rats (13-15 animals per group), adipic acid was administered with a standard diet at doses of 0, 1600, or 3200 mg/kg bw/day. Mortalities were observed from the first week until the fourth week (n=10). Surviving animals showed retarded weight gain and suffered from heavy diarrhoea during the first three weeks, but recovered during the fifth week. Histopathology has revealed slight effects on liver and inflammation of intestines at 1600 mg/kg bw/day. No NOAEL was obtained in this study but the LOAEL was estimated to be approximately 1600 mg/kg bw/day. This study examined only the liver and intestine histopathology (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Dermal

No data are available.

Inhalation

The chemical is of low chronic toxicity via the inhalation route.

Alderley Park rats were exposed to 15 applications of adipic acid dust (126 mg/m³) for 6 hours. No signs of toxicity or pathological changes during necropsy were observed. The NOAEC was determined to be >126 mg/m³. However, the histopathological observations conducted in the study were limited (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Genotoxicity

The chemical is reported to be non-mutagenic in various in vitro and in vivo studies.

In a study conducted according to the OECD Test Guideline 476 (in vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation test) on Chinese hamster lung fibroblasts (V79), adipic acid (99.92%) was administered, with and without metabolic activation, at concentrations of up to 10 mM using HPRT as the target gene. The chemical was found to be non-mutagenic in this study. Negative results were also observed in two other in vitro studies: a chromosome aberration test using human fibroblast (WI-38) without metabolic activation with adipic acid concentrations of up to 200 mg/L; and a bacterial reverse mutation assay (OECD TG 471) using *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538 or *Escherichia coli* WP2 strain with or without metabolic activation and adipic acid concentration of up to 10 mg/plate (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

In a host mediated assay using *S. typhimurium* TA-1530 and G-46 or *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* D3 as indicator strains,10 male mice were gavaged with 3.75, 37.5 and 375 mg/kg bw/day of adipic acid for one or 5 days. There was no significant increase in mutant frequencies except for the *S. cerevisiae* D3 single dose study, where an increased mutation frequency was observed with a dose response. However, further experiments using the same study animals that were gavaged with 5000 mg/kg bw once and 2500 mg/kg bw/d for 5 days with adipic acid showed negative results for all 3 indicator strains. Positive controls in all these experiments were functional (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Negative results were also reported in the following in vivo studies:

- Cytogenetic studies involving 5 male rats that were gavaged with up to 5000 mg/kg bw (acute studies) and up to 2500 mg/kg bw (5-day subacute study), in which the metaphase chromosomes of the bone marrow cells were scored for different genotoxic markers such as chromatid/chromosome gaps and breaks, aberrations, and polyploidy (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012); and
- A dominant lethal assay, in which each of the 10 male rats that were gavaged with adipic acid were mated to two virgin female rats. Females were sacrificed two weeks after mating to determine the fertility index, pre-implantation loss, and any lethal effects on the embryos. It was concluded that adipic acid does not induce dominant lethal mutations in doses up to 5000 mg/kg bw (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Carcinogenicity

Based on the results of a two year carcinogenicity study in rats, the chemical is not carcinogenic.

In a two year rat (Carworth Farm strain) study (19-20 males or females per group), adipic acid was administered with the basal laboratory diet at doses of approximately 0, 75, 750, 2250, 3750 mg/kg bw/day. The survival rate for each group was higher than the control group. Autopsy data from all animals, including those that died during the course of the two year feeding program and those who were sacrificed at the end of the study period, were analysed for any incidence of tumours and/or lung pathology. The incidence of tumours in the treated groups was similar to that of the control groups. The NOAEL was determined to be approximately 750 mg/kg bw/day (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

The chemical is not a reproductive or developmental toxicant based on the available information.

Pregnant albino CD-1 mice (25 animals per group, 31 in the highest dose group) were dosed daily with 0, 2.6, 12, 56, or 263 mg/kg bw/day of adipic acid during 6-15 days of gestation for a duration of 10 days. On day 17 of gestation, animals were subjected to a caesarean section. Administration of adipic acid did not cause any effects on implantation and survival of foetuses. The number of abnormalities that were observed in the tissues of the treated groups did not differ from those that occurred for the control group. The NOAEL for maternal and developmental toxicity was estimated to be >263 mg/kg bw/day (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

In the two year carcinogenicity study (described previously), no adverse effects were reported in histopathological examination of the ovaries and uterus of the surviving female rats sacrificed at the end of the study period. Incidences of ovarian cysts were noted in both control and experimental rats (OECD, 2006; REACH, 2012).

Risk Characterisation

Critical Health Effects

The critical effects for risk characterisation are severe eye irritation and possible respiratory irritation.

Public Risk Characterisation

Although use in cosmetic or domestic products in Australia is not known, the chemical is reported to be used in cosmetics and domestic products overseas. Use concentrations in these products are not known, however the concentration of free adipic acid used in cosmetics is not expected to be high when used it as a buffering agent. Eye and respiratory irritation are not expected from exposure to low concentrations of free adipic acid in cosmetic or domestic products.

Occupational Risk Characterisation

Given the critical health effects, the risk to workers from this chemical is considered low, particularly at concentrations below 10% or if adequate control measures to minimise occupational exposure to the chemical are implemented. The chemical should be appropriately classified and labelled to ensure that a person conducting a business, or an employee at a workplace, has adequate information to determine appropriate controls to protect workers handling the chemical.

NICNAS Recommendation

The chemical is considered to be fully assessed at the Tier II level with risk management measures considered adequate to protect public and workers, subject to amendment of the existing hazard classification.

Regulatory Control

Public Health

Considering the available information, to indicate low public exposure from this chemical, no regulatory controls are recommended.

Work Health and Safety

The chemical is recommended for classification and labelling under the current approved criteria and adopted GHS as below. This does not consider classification of physical hazards and environmental hazards.

Hazard	Approved Criteria (HSIS) ^a	GHS Classification (HCIS) ^b
Irritation / Corrosivity	Risk of serious eye damage (Xi; R41) Irritating to respiratory system (Xi; R37)	Causes serious eye damage - Cat. 1 (H318) May cause respiratory irritation - Specific target organ tox, single exp Cat. 3 (H335)

^a Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(2004)].

^b Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) United Nations, 2009. Third Edition.

* Existing Hazard Classification. No change recommended to this classification

Advice for consumers

Products containing the chemical should be used according to label instructions.

Advice for industry

Control measures

Control measures to minimise the risk from dermal/ocular/inhalation exposure to the chemical should be implemented in accordance with the hierarchy of controls. Approaches to minimise risk include substitution, isolation and engineering controls. Measures required to eliminate or minimise risk arising from storage, handling and use of a hazardous chemical are dependent on the physical form and the manner in which the chemical is used. Examples of control measures which may minimise the risk include but are not limited to:

- use of local exhaust ventilation to prevent the chemical from entering the breathing zone of any worker;
- minimisation of manual processes and work tasks through automation of processes;
- work procedures that minimise splashes and spills;
- regular cleaning of equipment and work areas; and
- use of protective equipment that is designed, constructed, and operated to ensure that, the worker does not come into contact with the chemical.

Guidance on managing risks from hazardous chemicals are provided in the *Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace—Code of Practice* available on the Safe Work Australia website.

Personal protective equipment should not be relied upon on its own to control risk and should only be used when all other reasonably practicable control measures do not eliminate or sufficiently minimise risk. Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

Obligations under workplace health and safety legislation

IMAP Single Assessment Report

Information in this report should be taken into account to assist with meeting obligations under workplace health and safety legislation as adopted by the relevant state or territory. This includes but is not limited to:

- ensuring that hazardous chemicals are correctly classified and labelled;
- ensuring that (material) safety data sheets ((m)SDS) containing accurate information about the hazards (relating to both health hazards and physicochemical (physical) hazards) of hazardous chemical are prepared; and
- management of risks arising from storage, handling and use of a hazardous chemical.

Your work health and safety regulator should be contacted for information on the work health and safety laws in your jurisdiction.

Information on how to prepare an (m)SDS and how to label containers of hazardous chemicals are provided in relevant Codes of Practice such as the *Preparation of Safety Data Sheets for Hazardous Chemicals*— *Code of Practice* and *Labelling of Workplace Hazardous Chemicals*—*Code of Practice*, respectively. These Codes of Practice are available from the Safe Work Australia website.

A review of physical hazards of the chemical has not been undertaken as part of this assessment.

References

Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC: 1008(2004)] Third edition. Accessed at http://www.nohsc.gov.au/pdf/Standards/approved_criteriaNOHSC1008_2004.pdf

ChemIDPlus Advanced. Accessed November 2012 at http://chem.sis.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/

Cosmetics Directive (CosIng). Accessed October 2012 at http://ec.europa.eu/consumers/cosmetics/cosing/

eChemPortal. Accessed October 2012 at http://www.echemportal.org/echemportal/substancesearch/substancesearchlink.action

Galleria Chemica. Accessed October 2012 at http://jr.chemwatch.net/galleria/

Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) United Nations, 2009. Third edition. Accessed at http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html

NICNAS 2006. Australian High Volume Industrial Chemicals List (AHVICL). Accessed November 2012 at http://www.nicnas.gov.au/Industry/Australian_High_Volume_Industrial_Chemicals/NICNAS_AHVICL_2006_PDF.pdf

NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo

OECD 2006. SIAP & SIAR on Hexanedioic acid — (124-04-9). Accessed October 2012 at http://webnet.oecd.org/Hpv/UI/SIDS_Details.aspx?id=AECDA11E-2C89-4F55-BB70-93CC3E20F66D

Personal Care Product Council. Accessed October 2012 at http://www.ctfa-gov.org/jsp/gov/GovHomePage.jsp

REACH Dossier 2012. Hexanedioic acid (124-04-9). Accessed October 2012 at http://echa.europa.eu/web/guest/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances

Safe Work Australia (SWA). Hazardous Substances Information system (HSIS). Accessed November 2012 at http://hsis.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/HazardousSubstance

Substances in Preparations in Nordic Countries (SPIN). Accessed October 2012 at http://fmp.spin2000.net/fmi/xsl/spin/SPIN/maininfo.xsl?-db=SPINstof&-lay=SPINnavn&-view

Last update 22 March 2013

Share this page