File No: STD/1261

November 2007

# NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

## **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

## 1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid, calcium salt (1:1), (1R, 2S)-rel-

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Water Resources.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at 334-336 Illawarra Road, Marrickville NSW 2204.

This Full Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

| Street Address: | 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA. |
|-----------------|--|
| Postal Address: | GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.                    |
| TEL:            | + 61 2 8577 8800   |
| FAX             | + 61 2 8577 8888   |
| Website:        | www.nicnas.gov.au  |

Director NICNAS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| FULL PUBLIC REPORT   | . 3 |
|--|-----|
| 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS                              | . 3 |
| 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL  | . 3 |
| 3. COMPOSITION   | .4  |
| 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES                                | .4  |
| 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION                                | . 5 |
| 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS                                       | . 5 |
| 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS                                      | .7  |
| 8. CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS                          | . 8 |
| APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES                       | 10  |
| APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS                           | 13  |
| APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS | 22  |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY   | 26  |

## FULL PUBLIC REPORT

## 1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid, calcium salt (1:1), (1R, 2S)-rel-

## 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S) Walk Off Mats Asia Pacific P/L (ABN 14 002 708 830) Unit7/95 O'Sullivan Beach Rd LONSDALE SA 5160

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT) No details are claimed exempt from publication.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT) Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: Flash point Acute toxicity (dermal, inhalation) Bioacculmulation

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S) None.

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES US TSCA EU ELINCS US FDA

## 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S) Hyperform HPN 20 EXP-20 Experimental 12894-58 Experimental 11591-54 Experimental 12341-68 Experimental 11214-70 Cis-hexahydrophthalic acid, calcium salt

CAS NUMBER 491589-22-1

CHEMICAL NAME 1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid, calcium salt (1:1), (1R, 2S)-rel-

OTHER NAME(S) None.

 $\begin{array}{l} Molecular \ Formula \\ C_8H_{12}O_4.Ca \end{array}$ 

STRUCTURAL FORMULA



MOLECULAR WEIGHT 212.26

ANALYTICAL DATA A reference IR spectrum was provided.

## 3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY 99.5%

HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES None.

NON HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES (>1% by weight) None.

There is one impurity at < 0.5%: Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid disodium salt

ADDITIVES/ADJUVANTS None.

## 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20°C AND 101.3 kPa: white powder

| Property                                | Value   | <b>Data Source/Justification</b> |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Melting Point                           | > 360°C   | Measured                         |
| Boiling Point                           | Not tested  |                                  |
| Density                                 | 1670 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at 20°C                      | Measured                         |
| Vapour Pressure                         | $< 2 \times 10^{-7}$ kPa at 25°C                    | Measured                         |
| Water Solubility                        | $0.296 \text{ g/L}$ at $20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ | Measured                         |
| Surface Tension                         | 70.4 mN/m at 22°C                                   | Measured                         |
| Hydrolysis as a Function of pH          | $t_{\frac{1}{2}} y > 1$ at pH 4, 7 & 9              | Measured                         |
| Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) | $\log P_{ow} = -2.56.at \ 22.2 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$    | Measured                         |
| Adsorption/Desorption                   | log K <sub>oc</sub> <1.25 at 30°C                   | Measured                         |
| Dissociation Constant                   | Dissociates at a level of 72.7% in                  | Measured                         |
|   | deionised water.                                    |                                  |
| Particle Size                           | Inhalable fraction (<100 µm): 12.3%                 | Measured                         |
|   | Respirable fraction (<10 µm): 3.47%                 |                                  |
| Flash Point                             | Not determined                                      |                                  |
| Flammability                            | Not highly flammable                                | Measured                         |
| Autoignition Temperature                | 333°C   | Measured                         |

**Explosive Properties** 

Not explosive

DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties, please refer to Appendix A.

Reactivity

Not predicted to be reactive.

## 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS The notified chemical will be imported in 13.3 kg fiber drums, and/or 4.5 and 9 kg bags.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

| Year   | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Tonnes | 1 - 10 | 1 - 10 | 1 - 10 | 1 - 10 | 1 - 10 |

PORT OF ENTRY

Brisbane, Melbourne and Sydney.

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

A partial list of expected Milliken Chemical/WOM customers would include: Qenos, Basell, Guilda and Parmalat.

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING Likely to be transported mainly by road in 13.3 kg fiber drums, and/or 4.5 and 9 kg bags.

USE

Additive for polyolefin plastic articles designed as a non-dispersive crystallisation modifier.

#### **OPERATION DESCRIPTION**

The notified chemical can be added directly in the plastic resin manufacturing process or can be added to a concentrated masterbatch. In each case either the chemical itself or masterbatch pellets are added to a hopper which feeds the mixing chamber of an extruder or injection moulder. The final hot articles or extruded product (eg films) are allowed to cool before automated packaging.

#### 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

#### 6.1 Exposure assessment

## 6.1.1 Occupational exposure

NUMBER AND CATEGORY OF WORKERS

| Category of Worker    | Number     | Exposure Duration                      | Exposure Frequency       |
|-----------------------|------------|--|--------------------------|
| Transport and storage | ≤ <b>5</b> | $\leq 8$ hours/day                     | $\leq$ 230 days per year |
| Equipment maintenance | ≤ <b>5</b> | $\leq 8$ hours/day                     | $\leq$ 230 days per year |
| Manufacture           | ≤ <b>5</b> | $\leq 8$ hours/day (5 minutes to empty | $\leq$ 230 days per year |
| QC testing            | ≤ 5        | $\leq 8 \text{ hours/day}$             | ≤ 230 days per year      |

Workers involved in manually adding the notified chemical to a hopper or mixing chamber are potentially exposed to dust. Inhalation exposure is expected to be low given the low level of inspirable and respirable particles and the limited time required for manual addition. However, local exhaust ventilation and respiratory protection are commonly employed. Once the notified chemical is added to the extruder or moulding machine the process is enclosed and exposure is unlikely. Any fumes generated from the hot plastic are vented via scrubbers to the atmosphere. Extruders and injection moulders are usually placed in areas with good general ventilation to control heat build up in the workplace. Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified chemical is possible during additions and is controlled by the use of gloves and goggles. Workers involved in cleaning and maintenance are potentially exposed to dust but should respiratory protection is expected.

Once in the final polyolefin, the notified chemical is encapsulated and at a low concentration of < 0.25% in products so that exposure to workers is minimal. Masterbatch pellets contain the notified chemical at up to 66% but the chemical is encapsulated and unlikely to migrate from the matrix.

## 6.1.2. Public exposure

The public should only come in contact with the notified chemical in finished articles. As the notified chemical does not appreciably migrate from the plastic in which it is contained, public exposure should not occur. A study was conducted on migration of the notified chemical from low density polyethylene (LDPE) (Milliken, 2005). Migration conditions were 100°C for 2 hours either followed by 40°C for 238 hours or not. Levels of 0.0002 mg/in<sup>2</sup> were observed in controls and in samples extracted with 10 - 95% ethanol. Using 3% acetic acid as the solvent, levels were 0.0014 mg/in<sup>2</sup> extracted for 2 hours at 100°C and 0.01 mg/in<sup>2</sup> when extracted for a further 238 hours at 40°C. Therefore, migration under conditions to which the public are likely to be exposed can be expected to be low.

## 6.2. Human health effects assessment

| Endpoint   | Result and Assessment Conclusion             |
|--|--|
| Rat, acute oral toxicity                           | oral LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw                    |
|  | low toxicity                                 |
| Rabbit, skin irritation                            | non-irritating                               |
| Rabbit, eye irritation                             | slightly irritating                          |
| Mouse, skin sensitisation – Local lymph node assay | no evidence of sensitisation                 |
| Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28 days.          | NOAEL = 1000  mg/kg bw/day                   |
| Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 90 days           | NOEL = $207 \text{ mg/kg bw/day for males};$ |
|  | 370 mg/kg bw/day for females                 |
| Genotoxicity – bacterial reverse mutation          | non mutagenic                                |
| Genotoxicity - in vitro chromosomal aberrations in | non genotoxic                                |
| human lymphocytes                                  |  |
| Genotoxicity – in vitro mouse lymphoma gene        | non genotoxic                                |
| mutation   |  |

The notified chemical was of low acute oral toxicity in rats and adverse effects were not observed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day in a 28-day rat oral repeated dose toxicity study. However, in a 90-day dietary study in rats the NOEL for males was 207 mg/kg bw/day and for females, 370 mg/kg bw/day with the main effect being hypercalcaemia. The notified chemical was not a skin irritant but was a slight eye irritant in rabbits. It was not a skin sensitiser as judged by a negative mouse local lymph node assay and was not genotoxic as judged by negative results in bacterial reverse mutation, human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration and mouse lymphoma gene mutation assays.

Based on the available data the notified chemical is not classified as hazardous under the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

## 6.3. Human health risk characterisation

## 6.3.1. Occupational health and safety

The notified chemical is a powder with > 85% of the particles being above the inhalable size limit of 100 µm. It is imported in small containers which are handled manually for addition of the powder to an injection moulder or an extruder to produce plastic articles or masterbatch plastic pellets for subsequent addition to an extruder (to produce plastic film, for example) or injection moulder to produce plastic articles.

The inhalation exposure of process workers can be estimated using the EASE model (EASE v 2.0, 1997) as a maximum of 0.625 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for inhalable particles and 0.175 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for respirable particles given the percentages of the types of particle listed in Section 4 using the assumptions of dry manipulation and LEV present. The log  $P_{ow}$  of < -1 indicates little likelihood of absorption via the respiratory tract (EC, 2003) so it is reasonable to categorise the fraction of the notified chemical which partitions to the workplace air during

manufacturing operations as nuisance dust. The exposure standard suggested by the ACGIH is  $3 \text{ mg/m}^3$  for respirable particles (ACGIH, 2001). Thus there is a low risk of adverse respiratory effects for workers manufacturing plastic articles or plastic masterbatches containing the notified chemical.

Although the EASE model predicts dermal exposure at 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day or 840 mg/day (two hands exposed) for process workers or 12 mg/kg bw/day for a 70 kg worker. Skin absorption is unlikely as the log  $P_{ow}$  is < -1 (EC, 2003). Based on the NOEL of 207 mg/kg bw/day the margin of exposure is expected to be significantly greater than 100, the level used to account for inter- and intra-species differences. Thus adverse health outcomes are not expected.

Following addition of the notified chemical to an extruder or injection moulder it will become encapsulated and will not be bioavailable. Thus the risk to workers involved in packing off, further processing of masterbatch pellets, QC testing and disposal of waste should be negligible.

## 6.3.2. Public health

The public will mainly be exposed to the notified chemical as a component of finished articles in which the notified chemical is encapsulated and non-bioavailable.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

## 7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

## 7.1.1 Environmental Exposure

## RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified chemical is manufactured overseas and will be transported to Australia in 13.3 kg fiber drums, and/or 4.5 and 9 kg bags. From warehousing locations in Australia, it will be transported to the polyolefin manufactures for production of the final articles for the plastics industry in Australia.

## RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Release during use is expected to be minimal, as manufacturing scrap is typically recycled. Any nonrecyclable scrap or spilt material is expected to be disposed of to landfill. The notified chemical will not be discharged directly to rivers, lakes or other natural watercourses, and spent packaging containing a small quantity of notified chemical will be disposed of to landfill. Finished articles are expected to be disposed of to landfill.

#### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

In landfill, notified chemical arising from spills and as residual within import containers may be mobile due to its relatively high solubility in water. As the notified chemical is readily biodegradable, it is anticipated that the notified chemical will degrade to form simple organic compounds and salts.

Notified chemical associated with finished polyolefin articles is expected to be entrapped within a stable polymer matrix. Eventually, the notified chemical is expected to biodegrade to form simple organic compounds and salts.

## 7.1.2 Environmental fate

A ready biodegradability test report was submitted, which indicates that the notified chemical can be considered to be readily biodegradable. For the details of the environmental fate study please refer to Appendix C.1.1.

## 7.1.3 Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

As release to the aquatic environment is not anticipated at any point in the lifecycle of the notified chemical, it is not possible to calculate a Predicted Environmental Concentration.

## 7.2. Environmental effects assessment

The results from ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C.

| Endpoint                            | Result          | Assessment Conclusion |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Fish Toxicity                       | LC50 >100 mg/L  | Not harmful           |
| Daphnia Toxicity                    | EC50 >100 mg/L  | Not harmful           |
| Algal Toxicity                      | IC50 >100 mg/L  | Not harmful           |
| Inhibition of Bacterial Respiration | EC50 >1000 mg/L | Not harmful           |

All results indicate that the notified chemical is not harmful to aquatic life up to the concentration specified.

## 7.2.1 Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC)

The PNEC has been calculated as follows, using an assessment factor of 100 as three trophic levels were tested.:

| Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment |       |      |
|--|-------|------|
| LC50 (Fish)  | >100  | mg/L |
| Assessment Factor  | 100   |      |
| PNEC:  | >1000 | μg/L |

## 7.3. Environmental risk assessment

As it was not possible to calculate a PEC, it is not possible to derive the Risk Quotient (PEC/PNEC). However, a lack of any significant release to the aquatic environment at any stage in the chemical's life-cycle in Australia, combined with its demonstrated lack of ecotoxicity, indicates that under the proposed use pattern, the notified chemical is not expected to pose an unacceptable risk to aquatic organisms or the environment.

## 8. CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

## Hazard classification

Based on the available data the notified chemical is not classified as hazardous under the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* [NOHSC:1008(2004)].

#### Human health risk assessment

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the risk to workers is considered to be acceptable.

When used in the proposed manner the risk to the public is considered to be acceptable.

#### **Environmental risk assessment**

The chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

#### Recommendations

•

REGULATORY CONTROLS Hazard Classification and Labelling

CONTROL MEASURES Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should implement the following engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical as introduced:
  - LEV should be provided at the point of addition of the notified chemical to a hopper or mixing chamber.
- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* [NOHSC:1008(2004)]

workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

## Disposal

• The notified chemical should be disposed of to landfill.

## Emergency procedures

• Spills or accidental release of the notified chemical should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

## **Regulatory Obligations**

## Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified chemical is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
  - the function or use of the chemical has changed from an additive for polyolefin plastic articles, or is likely to change significantly;
  - the amount of chemical being introduced has increased from 10 tonnes per year, or is likely to increase, significantly;
  - if the chemical has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
  - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

## Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the notified chemical provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

## **APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

| Melting Point                      | > 360°C   |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Method<br>Remarks<br>Test Facility | ASTM E537-86<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature.<br>Determined using Differential Scanning Calorimetry.<br>Safepharm (2006a)                              |  |
| <b>Boiling Point</b>               | Not determined.   |  |
| Remarks                            | Not determined due to high melting point.   |  |
| Density                            | 1670 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at 20°C  |  |
| Method<br>Remarks<br>Test Facility | OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.3 Relative Density.<br>Measured with a gas comparison pycnometer.<br>Safepharm (2006a)                     |  |
| Vapour Pressure                    | $< 2.0 \times 10^{-8}$ kPa at 25°C  |  |
| Method<br>Remarks<br>Test Facility | OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.4 Vapour Pressure.<br>Determined with a vapour pressure balance between 240-250°C by extrapolation.<br>Safepharm (2006b) |  |
| Water Solubility                   | 0.296 g/L at $20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C   |  |
| Method<br>Remarks<br>Test Facility | OECD TG 105 Water Solubility.<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.6 Water Solubility.<br>Flask Method with analysis by Ion Chromatography.<br>Safepharm (2006a)                           |  |
| Surface Tension                    | 70.4 mN/m at 22°C   |  |
| Method                             | OECD TG 115 Surface Tension of Aqueous Solutions.   |  |
| Remarks                            | EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.5 Surface Tension.<br>Concentration: 0.290 g/L, ring method, using an interfacial tension balance. The notified chemical is not surface active.          |  |
| Test Facility                      | Safepharm (2006a)   |  |
| Hydrolysis as a F                  | unction of pH   |  |
| Method                             | OECD TG 111 Hydrolysis as a Function of pH.<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.7 Degradation: Abiotic Degradation: Hydrolysis as a Function of pH.                                       |  |

| рН | $T(^{\infty})$ | t <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> years |
|----|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 4  | 25             | >1                                  |
| 7  | 25             | >1                                  |
| 9  | 25             | >1                                  |

RemarksAnalysis by Ion Chromatography. Conducted at  $50 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for 5 days.Test FacilitySafepharm (2006a)

**Partition Coefficient** $\log Pow = -2.56$  at  $22.2 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$ 

(n-octanol/water)

Method OECD TG 107 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water).

| Remarks<br>Test Facility           | EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.8 Partition Coefficient.<br>Shake-Flask method with analysis by Ion Chromatography.<br>Safepharm (2006a)   |   |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Adsorption/Deso                    | rption  | $\log K_{oc} < 1.25$ at 30°C  |
| Method                             | OECD TG 121 Adsorption - Desorption Using a Batch Equilibrium Method.   |   |
| Remarks                            | EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.19<br>HPLC Screening Method. The notified chemical eluted prior to the first reference<br>substance acetanilide. Testing was performed at a neutral pH with the test material in its<br>ionised form only. |   |
| Test Facility                      | Safepharm (2006a)   |   |
| Dissociation Cons                  | stant   | Dissociation to ionised form at 72.7% in deionised water.   |
| Remarks<br>Test Facility           | Measured by electr<br>Milliken Chemical   | ical conductivity.<br>(Date unkown)   |
| Particle Size                      |   | 87.7% > 100 μm  |
| Method                             | OECD TG 110 Par   | ticle Size Distribution/Fibre Length and Diameter Distributions.  |
|                                    | Range (µm)  | Mass (%)  |
|                                    | < 100 µm  | 12.3%   |
|                                    | < 10.2 µm   | 3.47%   |
|                                    | < 5.4 µm  | 1.23%   |
| Remarks<br>Test Facility           | Sieve used to detern<br>Safepharm (2006a)   | mine particles > 100 $\mu$ m; Cascade impactor for particles < 100 $\mu$ m.   |
| Flash Point                        |   | Not determined.   |
| Method<br>Remarks                  | EC Directive 92/69<br>Not determined due  | V/EEC A.9 Flash Point.<br>e to high melting point.  |
| Flammability                       |   | Not highly flammable.   |
| Method<br>Remarks<br>Test Facility | EC Directive 92/69<br>Did not propagate of<br>Safepharm (2006b)   | V/EEC A.10 Flammability (Solids).<br>combustion over 200 mm in a preliminary screening test.  |
| Autoignition Ten                   | perature  | 333°C   |
| Method<br>Test Facility            | EC Directive 92/69<br>Safepharm (2006b)   | /EEC A.16 Relative Self-Ignition Temperature for Solids.  |
| Explosive Proper                   | ties  | Predicted negative.   |
| Method<br>Remarks<br>Test Facility | EC Directive 92/69<br>Predicted negative<br>Safepharm (2006b)   | /EEC A.14 Explosive Properties.<br>based on structure.  |
| Dust Explosivity                   |   | Dust explosion risk: weak   |
| Method                             | ISO (1995) Explos<br>Combustible Dusts  | ion Protection Systems. Part I: Determination of Explosion Indices of in Air ISO 6184/1   |
| Remarks                            | Sample had a partie<br>rate of pressure rise<br>$70 - 80 \text{ g/m}^3$   | cle size of $< 75 \ \mu$ m. Maximum explosion pressure: 7.2 bar. Maximum e 326 bar/s. Kst value 88 bar.m/s. Minimum explosible concentration: |
| Test Facility                      | Milliken (2004)   |   |

| Oxidising Properties | Oxidising | Properties |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|
|----------------------|-----------|------------|

Predicted negative.

| Method        | EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.17 Oxidizing Properties (Solids).   |
|---------------|--|
| Remarks       | Structure assessed for chemical groups that would imply oxidising properties, for example, nitrates, metal oxides, hypofluorites, difluoroaminopolynitroaryls, perchlorates, |
| Test Facility | bromates, iodites.<br>Safepharm (2006b)  |
| -             |  |

## **Stability Testing**

Onset of exotherm 237°C.

| Method        | Specification in Prevention of Fire and Explosion in Dryers, Institute of Chemical  |
|---------------|---|
|               | Engineers (1990) pp 21 – 23.  |
| Remarks       | Thermal Stability, Bulk powder test, 100% of particles < 75 µm. Exothermic reaction |
|               | commenced at 237°C rising to 578°C.   |
| Test Facility | Milliken (2004)   |

## **APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

## **B.1.** Acute toxicity – oral

| TEST SUBSTANCE   | Notified chemical.   |
|------------------|--|
| Method           | OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method.<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.1tris Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class<br>Method. |
| Species/Strain   | Rat/Sprague-Dawley   |
| Vehicle          | Arachis oil BP   |
| Remarks - Method | No deviations from protocol noted.   |

## RESULTS

| Group             | Number and Sex      | Dose                          | Mortality     |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
|                   | of Animals          | mg/kg bw                      |               |
| 1                 | 3/sex               | 2000                          | 0             |
| LD50              | > 2000 mg/kg bw (n  | neasured); > 2500 mg/kg b     | w (estimated) |
| Signs of Toxicity | None.               |                               |               |
| Effects in Organs | None.               |                               |               |
| Remarks - Results | None.               |                               |               |
| CONCLUSION        | The notified chemic | al is of low toxicity via the | oral route.   |
| TEST FACILITY     | Safepharm (2001a)   |                               |               |

**B.2.** Acute toxicity – dermal

Data not provided.

# **B.3.** Acute toxicity – inhalation Data not provided.

#### **B.4.** Irritation – skin Notified chemical. TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion. EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation). Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White Number of Animals 3 Vehicle Water **Observation Period** 72 hours Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive. Remarks - Method No deviations from protocol noted. RESULTS Remarks - Results No Draize scores greater than 0 were recorded in any animal at any time point. CONCLUSION The notified chemical is non-irritating to the skin. TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006c)

## B.5. Irritation – eye

| TEST SUBSTANCE     | Notified chemical.  |
|--------------------|---|
| Method             | OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.                 |
|                    | EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.5 Acute Toxicity (Eye Irritation). |
| Species/Strain     | Rabbit/New Zealand White                                    |
| Number of Animals  | 3   |
| Observation Period | 72 hours  |
| Remarks - Method   | No deviations from protocol noted.                          |
|                    |   |

## RESULTS

| Lesion                      | Mean Score*<br>Animal No. |         | Maximum<br>Value | Maximum Duration<br>of Any Effect | Maximum Value at End<br>of Observation Period |   |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
|                             | 1                         | 2       | 3                |                                   |   |   |
| Conjunctiva: redness        | 0                         | 0       | 0                | 1                                 | 1 hour  | 0 |
| Conjunctiva: chemosis       | 0                         | 0       | 0                |                                   |   |   |
| Conjunctiva: discharge      | 0                         | 0       | 0                | 1                                 | 1 hour  | 0 |
| Corneal opacity             | 0                         | 0       | 0                |                                   |   |   |
| Iridial inflammation        | 0                         | 0       | 0                |                                   |   |   |
| *Coloulated on the basis of | fthaga                    | amag at | 21 10            | and 72 hours for                  | n E A CII animal                              |   |

\*Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

| Remarks - Results | White residual material was noted in two treated eyes at the 1-hour observation time. |
|-------------------|---|
| CONCLUSION        | The notified chemical is slightly irritating to the eye.                              |
| TEST FACILITY     | Safepharm (2006d)   |

## B.6. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)

| TEST SUBSTANCE   | Notified chemical                  |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Method           |                                    |
| Species/Strain   | Mouse/CBA/Ca                       |
| Vehicle          | Acetone/olive oil (4:1)            |
| Remarks - Method | No deviations from protocol noted. |

RESULTS

| Concentration<br>(% w/w)      | Proliferative response<br>(DPM/lymph node) | Stimulation Index<br>(Test/Control Ratio) |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Test Substance                |  |   |
| 0 (vehicle control)           | 1878                                       |   |
| 5                             | 1946                                       | 1.04                                      |
| 10                            | 1870                                       | 1.00                                      |
| 25                            | 967  | 0.51                                      |
| Positive Control (05/07/2005) |  |   |
| $\alpha$ -hexvlcinnamaldehvde |  |   |
| 5                             |  | 2.24                                      |
| 10                            |  | 1.94                                      |
| 25                            |  | 4.76                                      |

Remarks - Results

No deaths and no signs of systemic toxicity were recorded.

CONCLUSION

There was no evidence of induction of a lymphocyte proliferative response indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified chemical.

TEST FACILITY

Safepharm (2006e)

## B.7. Repeat dose toxicity 7.1 28-Day Repeated Dose Oral Toxicity Study

| TEST SUBSTANCE          | Notified chemical.   |
|-------------------------|--|
| Method                  | OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents.   |
|                         | Japanese Chemical Substances Control Law 1987  |
|                         | OPPTS 870.3050, Repeated Dose 28-day Toxicity Study in Rodents.  |
| Species/Strain          | Rat/Wistar   |
| Route of Administration | Oral – gavage  |
| Exposure Information    | Total exposure days: 28 days   |
|                         | Dose regimen: 7 days per week  |
| Vehicle                 | Propylene glycol   |
| Remarks - Method        | Minor deviations from protocol were deviations from the minimal level  |
|                         | of relative humidity and the parathyroids from one high dose male and one control female being unavailable for histopathology. |

#### RESULTS

| Group              | Number and Sex<br>of Animals | Dose<br>mg/kg bw/day | Mortality |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| control            | 5/sex                        | 0                    | 1 male    |
| low dose           | 66                           | 50                   |           |
| mid dose           | <u>c</u> c                   | 150                  |           |
| high dose          | 66                           | 1000                 |           |
| control recovery   | 66                           | 0                    |           |
| high dose recovery | "                            | 1000                 |           |

## Mortality and Time to Death

1 male was killed in extremis due to a procedural error.

#### Clinical Observations

Incidental signs were non dose related and were not toxicologically significant. Functional observations were unaffected by treatment. No significant changes in food consumption, body weight or body weight gain were observed.

#### Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology

*Clinical chemistry:* Slightly reduced bilirubin was observed in high dose males; slightly reduced sodium levels in mid and high dose males remained low at the high dose and increased calcium was observed in high dose males and mid and high dose females.

*Haematology:* Some slight changes occurring only in recovery animals were unlikely to have toxicological significance.

#### Effects in Organs

A range of incidental macroscopic findings were not dose related and were similar to those occasionally seen amongst rats of this strain and age. Significant organ weight changes were: a slightly higher relative adrenal weight in high dose females and slightly higher relative liver weights in mid and high dose males. One high dose male showed a bilateral moderate multifocal tubular basophilia in the kidneys.

#### Remarks - Results

The statistically significant changes in clinical chemistry and organ weights were within the normal range for rats of the strain and age used in the study and did not have histopathological correlates. Therefore, the changes were of little toxicological significance. The single kidney effect identified microscopically in a high dose male was judged to be an isolated occurrence.

## CONCLUSION

The No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was established as 1000 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on the slight effects at this dose level.

## TEST FACILITY

#### Notox (2005)

## 7.2 90-Day Repeated Dose Oral (Dietary) Toxicity Study

| TEST SUBSTANCE          | Notified chemical.   |
|-------------------------|--|
| Method                  | Toxicological Principles for the Safety Assessment of Food Ingredients |
|                         | (November 2003), US FDA, Red Book 2000.                                |
| Species/Strain          | Rat/Sprague Dawley   |
| Route of Administration | Oral – diet  |
| Exposure Information    | Total exposure days: 90 days   |
|                         | Dose regimen: 7 days per week  |
| Vehicle                 | None   |
| Remarks - Method        | Deviations from protocol dictated by toxicity of the high dose.        |

## RESULTS

| Group        | Number and Sex | Dose         | Mortality |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
|              | of Animals     | mg/kg bw/day |           |
| control      | 20/sex         | 0            | 1 male    |
| low dose     | ٠٠             | 37           | 0         |
| mid dose     | 66             | 370          | 0         |
| high dose    | 66             | 1343*        | 7 males   |
| control (2)  | ٠٠             | 0            | 0         |
| low dose (2) | 66             | 20           | 0         |
| mid dose (2) | ٠٠             | 207          | 0         |

\* Reduced to 50% of this from day 22 due to a deterioration in physical condition and deaths. Due to a progressive decline in physical health the high dose male group was terminated on day 37/38.

#### Mortality and Time to Death

One control male died on day 56 of severe physical injury to the tail unrelated to treatment.

One high dose male died on day 13 and one male was killed in extremis of day 16 and one on day 19. Following reduction of the by 50% from week 3, further high dose males were killed in extremis on days 28, 29, 34, 35 and 36.

## Clinical Observations

Red staining on cage tray linings were noted in high dose males from day 7 and on 5 occasions for high dose females. For the high dose females hunched posture was noted on day 18 only and the presence of a mass was noted in one female. More severe effects were seen in the high dose males. The male which died on day 13 had no clinical signs but the male killed on day 16 showed signs of loss of muscle mass, decreased respiration, pilo-erection, lethargy, hunched posture and dehydration and the male killed on day 19 exhibited signs of hunched posture, tip-toe gait and blood in the urine.

Following reduction of the high dose by 50% clinical signs were still evident for males. One male was terminated following signs of lethargy, decreased and laboured respiration and malfunction of the hind limbs on day 28; one male was terminated on day 29 following signs of laboured and decreased respiration, ptosis, pilo-erection, lethargy and hunched posture; one male was terminated on day 34 following signs of tip-toe gait, hunch posture and pallor of the extremities.

A range of other findings at lower dose levels was considered to be of no toxicological significance.

No toxicologically significant changes in functional performance tests or sensory reactivity scores were found.

No significant trend was observed for bodyweight or bodyweight gain in animals treated with the notified chemical and no effect of treatment was observed on food or water consumption or food efficiency.

## Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

*Clinical chemistry:* At week 2 high dose animals had elevated creatinine, males had a statistically significant increase in blood urea and females, calcium levels. High dose males also showed reduced ASAT and inorganic phosphorus which was also reduced at the mid dose.

At week 7 mid dose males exhibited reduced inorganic phosphorus and sodium ions and an increase in triglycerides.

At week 13 high dose females showed an increase in calcium ions and at the 50% reduced high dose, a slight but significant increase in ASAT.

A range of other changes were either adaptive or judged to be incidental.

*Haematology:* Haematocrit count was reduced for high dose animals at week 2. Reduced erythrocyte counts were statistically significant only for males and reduced haemoglobin only for females. High dose males showed statistically significant increases in mean cell haemoglobin and elevated neutrophil counts were statistically significant only for males. At week 8 there was a slight but statistically significant increase for mean cell haemoglobin for high dose females. Some changes to various parameters at lower doses were judged not to be of toxicological significance due to a lack of consistent response.

*Urinalysis:* Red staining of the cage liners was correlated with blood in the urine. Although this is reported in isolated cases, in three of five high dose (1343 mg/kg bw/day) males at week 3, haemoglobin was observed in the urine and in one male, bilirubin. A substantial amount of haemoglobin was also observed for one high dose female. Sediment analysis revealed the presence of a markedly high number of erythrocytes in 4 of the 5 high dose males examined and 3 high dose females. Also high dose males showed a reduction in micturated urine volume of increased specific activity. Pre-terminal urinalysis revealed the presence of haemoglobin in 5 of 10 mid dose (370 mg/kg bw/day) males. This was also observed in 2 mid dose and 2 high dose females but the toxicological significance was reduced by the observation of one control females showing the same effect. Pre-terminal sedimentation analysis revealed a higher number of erythrocytes in the urine of one mid dose male and one high dose female treated with 672 mg/kg bw/day.

#### *Effects in Organs*

Elevated kidney weights were evident in high dose females. A range of other effects on organ weights were not dose related and had no histopathological correlates so were judged not to be of toxicological significance.

The interim deaths of high dose males showed a number of macroscopic abnormalities including enlarged and hydronephrotic kidneys, distended and dark urinary bladders, with a number of animals showing the presence of multiple calculi.

At terminal kill one high dose female showed enlarged hydronephrotic and pale kidneys. Multiple solid masses were evident in the urinary bladder and ureter.

#### *Histopathology:*

In the urinary bladder epithelial hyperplasia, with or without associated subepithelial and peripheral inflammation or haemorrhage was observed for high dose animals with the changes being more severe in males. Calculus formation was observed in two males and in two females. No urinary bladder pathology was seen in control, low (2) and mid (2) dose animals.

In the kidneys focal papillary degeneration/necrosis, tubular basophilia and dilatation, pelvic dilatation (hydronephrosis) and associated haemorrhage/congestion was observed in high dose males. Renal pelvic calculi were seen in two males and mineralisation was not otherwise observed in the renal tissue of males. For high dose females, focal hyperplasia of the pelvic/papillary epithelium was observed, frequently in association with renal pelvic calculi. Significant renal pathology was not observed for any of these animals.

Some effects related to generally debilitated condition were generalised hepatocyte enlargement and hepatocyte basophilia correlated with urinary bladder changes as were interstitial and peripheral inflammatory cell infiltrates and reduced secretory content of the vesicles. Also associated with the urinary bladder changes were inflammatory cell infiltrates, oedema and focal haemorrhage in the prostate.

A greater incidence of higher grades of severity of trabecular bone formation was observed in mid dose males.

Acanthosis and hyperkeratosis was seen in the forestomach of 4 high dose males.

Lymphoid atrophy of the thymus was more severe in high dose males and mesenteric lymph nodes were similarly affected.

## Remarks - Results

Clinical signs of red staining detected on cage tray liners, later confirmed as blood from urinalysis were attributed to the presence of renal calculi in high dose males. These stones were confirmed to be calcium phosphate. The most plausible reason for the formation of these calculi was the high calcium content of the notified chemical which resulted in hypercalcaemia. An excessive calcium build up in the kidneys and urine, combined with other waste products to form the calculi resulting in hypercalcuria. Several other probable conditions secondary to the urinary tract pathology were observed in the liver, stomach, thymus, mesenteric lymph nodes, seminal vesicles and prostate. The effects in males resulted in severe clinical signs and lead to a reduction of the high dose by 50% and ultimately to early termination of the high dose males.

Treatment related renal and urinary bladder pathology was also seen in high dose females including slight haemopoietic effects, increases in plasma calcium and the presence of haemoglobin and erythrocytes in the urine. One female exhibited renal calculi.

In addition to these changes, increased trabecular bone formation was observed in mid dose males.

#### CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 370 mg/kg bw/day in this study for females and 207 mg/kg bw/day for males.

| TEST F.   | ACILITY   | Safepharm (2006f)  |
|---|---|--|
| <b>B.8</b> .                                    | Genotoxicity – bacteria   |  |
| TEST S  | UBSTANCE  | Notified chemical.   |
| METHO<br>Spe<br>Met<br>Cor<br>Mai<br>Veh<br>Ren | D<br>cies/Strain<br>tabolic Activation System<br>icentration Range in<br>in Test<br>nicle<br>narks - Method | OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test<br>using Bacteria.<br>Plate incorporation procedure<br><i>S. typhimurium</i> : TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102<br>Phenobarbitone/ $\beta$ -naphthoflavone-induced rat liver S9 fraction.<br>a) With metabolic activation: 0 - 5000 µg/plate<br>b) Without metabolic activation: 0 - 5000 µg/plate<br>DMSO<br>Replicate assay not performed.  |
| Ren   | narks - Results   | No significant increase in the number of revertant colonies per plate<br>above the spontaneous level was observed in any strain at any dose level<br>in the absence or presence of metabolic activation.<br>Toxicity assessed as a visible reduction in growth of the background lawn<br>was noted in all tester strains in the absence of S9 fraction at the top dose.<br>No precipitate was noted on the plates at any dose level.<br>Negative controls were within historical limits and positive controls<br>demonstrated the sensitivity of the test. |
| CONCL   | USION   | The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.  |

| TEST FACILITY   | Safepharm (undated).  |
|---|---|
| B.9. Genotoxicity – bacteria: S   | tudy 2  |
| TEST SUBSTANCE  | Notified chemical.  |
| Method  | OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test<br>using Bacteria.<br>Plate incorporation procedure  |
| Species/Strain  | S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100<br>E. coli WP2uvrA  |
| Metabolic Activation System<br>Concentration Range in<br>Main Test<br>Vehicle<br>Remarks - Method | Phenobarbitone/ $\beta$ -naphthoflavone-induced rat liver S9 fraction.<br>a) With metabolic activation: 0 - 5000 µg/plate<br>b) Without metabolic activation: 0 - 5000 µg/plate<br>DMSO<br>No deviations from protocol noted.   |
| RESULTS   |   |
| Remarks - Results   | No significant increase in the number revertant colonies per plate above<br>the spontaneous level was observed in any strain at any dose level in the<br>absence or presence of metabolic activation.   |
|   | Toxicity assessed as a visible reduction in growth of the background lawn was not noted in any strain.  |
|   | Negative controls were within historical limits and positive controls demonstrated the sensitivity of the test.   |
| CONCLUSION  | The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.   |
| TEST FACILITY   | Safepharm (2001b)   |
| B.10. Genotoxicity – in vitro   |   |
| TEST SUBSTANCE  | Notified chemical.  |
| Method  | OECD TG 476 In vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test.<br>EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.17 Mutagenicity - In vitro Mammalian Cell<br>Gene Mutation Test.<br>US EPA (1998) Health Effects Test Guidelines. OPPTS 870.5300 <i>In vitro</i><br>Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test. EPA 712-C-98-221. |
| Cell Type/Cell Line<br>Metabolic Activation System<br>Vehicle<br>Remarks - Method                 | Mouse lymphoma L5178Y<br>Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver S9 fraction<br>DMSO<br>No deviations from protocol noted.   |

| Metabolic  | Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)          | Exposure | Expression | Selection    |
|------------|---|----------|------------|--------------|
| Activation |   | Period   | Time       | Time         |
| Absent     |   |          |            |              |
| Test 1     | 0, 7.8, 15.6, 31.3, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, 1000 | 3 hours  | 48 hours   | 10 – 14 days |
| Test 2     | 0, 8, 16, 31, 63, 125, 250, 500, 1000         | 24 hours | "          | "            |
| Present    |   |          |            |              |
| Test 1     | 0, 16, 31, 63, 125, 250, 500, 1000            | 3 hours  | "          | "            |
| Test 2     | 0, 16, 31, 63, 125, 250, 500, 1000            | 3 hours  | "          | "            |

## RESULTS

| Metabolic  | Tes              | t Substance Concentra | tion (µg/mL) Resultin | g in:            |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Activation | Cytotoxicity in  | Cytotoxicity in       | Precipitation         | Genotoxic Effect |
|            | Preliminary Test | Main Test             |                       |                  |
| Absent     |                  |                       |                       |                  |
| Test 1     | 250              | 500                   | None noted            | Negative         |
| Test 2     | 1000             | 500                   | "                     | "                |
| Present    |                  |                       |                       |                  |
| Test 1     | 500              | No toxicity           | "                     | "                |
| Test 2     |                  | ٠٠                    | "                     | "                |

Remarks - Results

The acceptance criteria developed by the Mouse Lymphoma Assay Workgroup of the International Workshop on Genotoxicity Testing (Moore et al., 2006) are as follows:

Negative control: MF:  $50 - 170 \times 10^{-6}$ ; Cloning Efficiency (CE): 65 - 120%; Suspension Growth (SG): 8 - 32.

Positive control: An increase in MF above spontaneous background of at least  $300 \times 10^{-6}$  with at least 40% of the induced MF due to small colonies.

The mean mutation frequency (MF) in test 1 was approximately  $300 \times 10^{-6}$ , approximately  $65 - 150 \times 10^{-6}$  in the absence of S9 with 24-hour treatment (test 2) and  $125 - 183 \times 10^{-6}$  for the repeat of the 3-hour treatment in the presence of S9 (test 2).

For the positive controls, MF exhibited by MMS at 10  $\mu$ g/mL was 1230 × 10<sup>-6</sup> – S9 and that for MC at 2.5  $\mu$ g/mL was 1225 × 10<sup>-6</sup> + S9. In test 2 the MF for MMS at 5  $\mu$ g/mL – S9 was 935 × 10<sup>-6</sup> and that for MC at 2.5  $\mu$ g/mL was 668 × 10<sup>-6</sup> + S9.

Therefore, this study is considered acceptable although the numbers of small and large colonies (representing large and small chromosomal events, respectively) were not reported.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not genotoxic to mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences (2001a)

## B.11. Genotoxicity - in vitro

| TEST SUBSTANCE  | Notified chemical.  |
|---|---|
| Method  | OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.<br>US EPA (1998) Health Effects Test Guidelines. OPPTS 870.5375 <i>In vitro</i><br>Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test. EPA 712-C-98-223  |
| Cell Type/Cell Line<br>Metabolic Activation System<br>Vehicle<br>Remarks - Method | Human lymphocytes.<br>Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver S9 fraction.<br>DMSO<br>The stability of the test substance and test substance in solvent were not<br>determined as part of this study. Analysis of achieved concentration was<br>not performed as part of this study. |

| Metabolic  | Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) | Exposure | Harvest |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Activation |                                      | Period   | Time    |

| Test 1  | 400*, 600*, 800*           | 3 hours  | 20 hours |
|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Test 2  | 100, 200, 400*, 600*, 800* | 20 hours | "        |
| Present |                            |          |          |
| Test 1  | 400*, 600*, 800*           | 3 hours  | "        |
| Test 2  | 100, 200, 400*, 600*, 800* | 3 hours  | "        |

RESULTS

| Metabolic         | Tes                 | t Substance Concentra                            | ation (µg/mL) Resultin                            | g in:                  |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| Activation        | Cytotoxicity in     | Cytotoxicity in                                  | Precipitation                                     | Genotoxic Effect       |
|                   | Preliminary Test    | Main Test  | -   |                        |
| Absent            |                     |  |   |                        |
| Test 1            |                     | 800  | Not noted   | Negative               |
| Test 2            |                     | -  | "   | · · ·                  |
| Present           |                     |  |   |                        |
| Test 1            |                     | -  | "   | "                      |
| Test 2            |                     | -  | ۲۲  | "                      |
| Remarks - Results | The ne controls     | gative controls were<br>s exhibited the sensitiv | within historical lir<br>rity of the test system. | mits and the positive  |
| CONCLUSION        | The not<br>in vitro | tified chemical was no<br>under the conditions o | ot clastogenic to huma<br>of the test.            | in lymphocytes treated |
| TEST FACILITY     | Hunting             | gdon Life Sciences (20                           | )01b)   |                        |

# APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

## C.1. Environmental Fate

## C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

| TEST SUBSTANCE   | Notified Chemical  |
|--|--|
| METHOD<br>Inoculum<br>Exposure Period<br>Analytical Monitoring<br>Remarks - Method | <ul> <li>OECD TG 301 B Ready Biodegradability: CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution Test.</li> <li>Activated Sewage Sludge Micro-organisms</li> <li>28 d</li> <li>Ion Chromatography</li> <li>The test material, at a concentration of 10 mg C/L, was exposed to activated sewage sludge micro-organisms with culture medium in sealed culture vessels in the dark at 21°C for 28 days. The degradation of the test material was assessed by the determination of carbon dioxide produced.</li> <li>Control solutions with inoculum and the standard material, sodium benzoate, together with a toxicity control were used for validation purposes.</li> </ul> |
|  |  |

## RESULTS

| Test su                         | ibstance  | Sodiu   | im benzoate   |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Day                             | % Degradation   | Day   | % Degradation   |
| 0                               | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 8                               | 0   | 8   | 65  |
| 14                              | 27  | 14  | 71  |
| 22                              | 74  | 22  | 78  |
| 28                              | 74  | 28  | 80  |
| Remarks - Results               | The test material atta  | ained 74% degradation   | after 28 days and satisfied   |
| Remarks - Results               | The test material atta<br>10-day window vali<br>attained within 10 da   | ained 74% degradation<br>dation criterion, when<br>tys of the degradation e   | after 28 days and satisfied<br>eby 60% degradation must<br>exceeding 10%.   |
| Remarks - Results<br>Conclusion | The test material atta<br>10-day window vali<br>attained within 10 da<br>The test material can<br>strict terms and cond | ained 74% degradation<br>dation criterion, when<br>us of the degradation e<br>to be considered to be re-<br>itions of the test guidel | after 28 days and satisfied<br>eby 60% degradation must<br>exceeding 10%.<br>eadily biodegradable under t<br>ine. |

## C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations

## C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

| TEST SUBSTANCE        | Notified Chemical  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Method                | OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test – Semi-Static<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.1 Acute Toxicity for Fish - Semi-Static  |
| Species               | Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)  |
| Exposure Period       | 96 h   |
| Water Hardness        | 100 mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L  |
| Analytical Monitoring | Ion Chromatography   |
| Remarks – Method      | Following a preliminary range finding test fish were exposed, in three groups of ten, to an aqueous solution of the test material, at a single concentration of 100 mg active constituent per litre for a period of 96 hours at a temperature of 12.5 - 13.8°C under semi-static conditions, with daily renewal. |

| Concentration mg/L                             |          | Number of Fish   |     | Mortality |      |                                      |      |
|--|----------|--|-----|-----------|------|--------------------------------------|------|
| Nominal  | Actual   | -  | 1 h | 24 h      | 48 h | 72 h                                 | 96 h |
| 100  | 94 - 107 | 30   | 0   | 0         | 0    | 0                                    | 0    |
| LC50<br>NOEC<br>Remarks – Re                   | sults    | >100 mg/L at 96 hours.<br>100 mg/L at 96 hours.<br>Analysis of the test media throughout the exposure period showed<br>measured test concentrations to range from 94% to 107% of nominal and<br>so the results are based on the nominal test concentrations only. The test<br>preparations were observed to be clear, colourless solutions through the<br>duration of the test. No sub-lethal effects were observed. |     |           |      | nowed<br>al and<br>ne test<br>gh the |      |
| CONCLUSION                                     |          | The notified chemical is not harmful to fish.  |     |           |      |                                      |      |
| TEST FACILITY                                  |          | Safepharm (2006h)  |     |           |      |                                      |      |
| C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates |          |  |     |           |      |                                      |      |

| TEST SUBSTANCE        | Notified Chemical  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Method                | OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and Reproduction Test - Static.  |  |  |
|                       | EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 Acute Toxicity for Daphnia - Static.  |  |  |
| Species               | Daphnia magna  |  |  |
| Exposure Period       | 48 hours   |  |  |
| Water Hardness        | 122 mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L  |  |  |
| Analytical Monitoring | Ion Chromatography   |  |  |
| Remarks - Method      | Following a preliminary range-finding test, forty daphnids (4 replicates of 10 animals) were exposed to an aqueous solution of the test material at a single concentration of 100 mg active constituent per litre for a period of 48 hours at a temperature of $21.2 - 21.5^{\circ}$ C under static test conditions. |  |  |

## RESULTS

| Concentration mg/L                   | Number of D. magna  | Number Immobilised   |   |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Nominal Actual                       |   | 24 h   | 48 h  |  |
| 100 101 - 108                        | 40  | 0  | 0   |  |
| LC50<br>NOEC<br>Remarks - Results    | >100 mg/L at 48 hours<br>100 mg/L at 48 hours<br>Analysis of the test preparations at 0 and<br>concentrations to range from 101% to 14<br>results are based on the nominal test<br>preparations were observed to be clear,<br>duration of the test. | d 48 hours sho<br>08% of nomina<br>t concentration<br>colourless sol | wed measured test<br>al value and so the<br>ns only. The test<br>utions through the |  |
| CONCLUSION                           | The notified chemical is not harmful to d   | aphnids.   |   |  |
| TEST FACILITY                        | Safepharm (2006i)   |  |   |  |
| C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test  |   |  |   |  |
| TEST SUBSTANCE                       | Notified Chemical   |  |   |  |
| METHOD<br>Species<br>Exposure Period | OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition<br>EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.3 Algal Inhib<br><i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i><br>96 hours   | Test.<br>vition Test.  |   |  |

| Concentration Range   | Nominal:   | 100 mg/L  |
|-----------------------|--|---|
|                       | Actual:  | 99 - 107 mg/L   |
| Analytical Monitoring | Ion Chromat  | ography   |
| Remarks - Method      | Following  | a preliminary range-finding test, Pseudokirchneriella   |
|                       | subcapitata<br>single conce<br>96 hours, ur<br>24±1°C. | was exposed to an aqueous solution of the test material at a<br>ntration of 100 mg active constituent per litre for a period of<br>nder constant illumination and shaking at a temperature of |

RESULTS

| Biomass                                 |   | Growth  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| $E_bC50$                                | $NOE_bC$  | $E_rC50$  | NOE <sub>r</sub> C   |  |  |
| mg/L at 96 h                            | mg/L  | mg/L at 96 h  | mg/L   |  |  |
| >100                                    | 100   | >100  | 100  |  |  |
| Remarks - Results                       | Analysis of the t<br>concentrations to<br>based on the nom  | est preparations at 0 and 96 ho<br>range from 99 – 107% of non<br>inal test concentrations only.  | ours showed measured test<br>ninal and so the results are  |  |  |
|   | All test and cont<br>There were no<br>cultures. At the s<br>to be colourless s<br>cultures were obs   | rol cultures were inspected mi<br>abnormalities detected in an<br>tart of the test all control and t<br>olutions. After the 96 hour test<br>served to be bright green disper  | icroscopically at 96 hours.<br>ny of the control or test<br>est cultures were observed<br>t period all control and test<br>rsions.   |  |  |
| CONCLUSION                              | The notified cher   | nical is not harmful to algae.  |  |  |  |
| TEST FACILITY                           | Safepharm (2006   | j)  |  |  |  |
| C.2.4. Inhibition of microbial          | activity  |   |  |  |  |
| TEST SUBSTANCE                          | Notified Chemica  | al  |  |  |  |
| Method                                  | OECD TG 209 A<br>EC Directive 3<br>Respiration Inhib  | ctivated Sludge, Respiration In<br>88/302/EEC C.11 Biodegrad<br>ition Test  | nhibition Test.<br>dation: Activated Sludge  |  |  |
|   | Activated sewage  | e sludge  |  |  |  |
| Exposure Period                         | 3 hours   | $0.m_{2}/I$   |  |  |  |
| Concentration Range<br>Remarks – Method | Nominal: 100<br>Following prelin<br>exposed to an aq<br>1000 mg active c<br>3 hours at a temp<br>as a respiratory s<br>minutes and 3 h<br>and a reference n | J0 mg/L<br>ninary range-finding tests, acti-<br>ueous dispersion of the test ma<br>constituent per litre (three repli-<br>berature of 21°C with the addi-<br>ubstrate. The rate of respiration<br>ours contact time and compar-<br>naterial, 3,5-dichlrophenol. | ivated sewage sludge was<br>aterial at a concentration of<br>cate flasks) for a period of<br>tion of a synthetic sewage<br>on was determined after 30<br>red to data for the control |  |  |
| RESULTS                                 |   |   |  |  |  |
| IC50                                    | >1000 mg/L  |   |  |  |  |
| NOEC<br>Remarks – Results               | 1000 mg/L<br>Analysis of the<br>material in the<br>Guidelines.  | concentration, homogeneity<br>test preparations was not   | and stability of the test<br>appropriate to the Test   |  |  |
|   | The reference n<br>validation criteria<br>EC <sub>50</sub> values were  | naterial gave a 3 hour EC50<br>a for the control respiration ra<br>satisfied.   | value of 11 mg/L. The tes and reference material   |  |  |

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is not harmful to sewage micro-organisms.

TEST FACILITY

Safepharm (2006k)

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- ACGIH (2001) The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH): Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents and Biological Indices 2001; ACGIH Cincinnati, Ohio.
- EASE (1997) v 2.0 UK Health and Safety Executive.
- Huntingdon Life Sciences (2001a) Experimental 11214-70 Mammalian Cell Mutation Assay. Report No. KMU 004/013841. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, England (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Huntingdon Life Sciences (2001b) Experimental 11214-70 In Vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test in Human Lymphocytes. Report No. KMU 004/013532. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, England (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Milliken Chemical (Date unknown) Determination of the Dissociation of the Calcium Salt of Cyclohexanedicarboxylic Acid, Russell Harlan, Ph.D., Research & Development Associate, Milliken Chemical, 920 Milliken Road, M-401, Spartanburg, SC 29304 (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Milliken (2004) Dust Explosibility and Thermal Stability of Experimental 12341-68. Report No. R/4878/0504/NK. Milliken Chemical, 920 Milliken Road, M-401, Spartanburg, SC 29304 (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- Notox (2005) Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity Study with Experimental 11591-54 by Daily Gavage in the Rat, followed by a 14-Day Recovery Period. Project No. 430594. Notox B V, 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (undated) Experimental 11569-34: Experimental 11569-34: Reverse Mutation Assay "Ames Test" using *Salmonella typhimurium* Single Experiment. Report No. 656/061. Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2001a) Experimental 11214-70: Acute Oral Toxicity in the Rat Acute Toxic Class Method. Report No. 656/089. Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2001b) Experimental 11214-70: Reverse Mutation Assay "Ames Test" using *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli*. Report No. 656/088. Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006a) Determination of General Physico-Chemical Properties, SPL Project Number 0656/0279, 27 April 2006, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006b) Determination of Hazardous Physico-Chemical Properties, SPL Project Number 0656/0280, 1 February 2006, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006c) Experimental 11591-54: Acute Dermal Irritation in the Rabbit. Report No. 0656/0281. Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006d) Experimental 11591-54: Acute Eye Irritation in the Rabbit. Report No. 0656/0282. Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).

- Safepharm (2006e) Experimental 11591-54: Local Lymph Node Assay in the Mouse. Report No. 0656/0283. Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006f) Experimental 12894-58: Ninety Day Repeated Dose Oral (Dietary) Toxicity Study in the Rat. Report No. 0656/0277. Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006g) Assessment of Ready Biodegradability; CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution Test, SPL Project Number 0656/0314, 24 March 2006, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006h) Acute toxicity to Rainbow Trout, SPL Project Number 0656/0284, 2 May 2006, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006i) Acute toxicity to *Dapnhia magna*, SPL Project Number 0656/0285, 2 May 2006, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006j) Algal Inhibition Test, SPL Project Number 0656/0286, 13 July 2006, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- Safepharm (2006k) Assessment of the Inhibitory Effect on the Respiration of Activated Sewage Sludge, SPL Project Number 0656/0287, 28 April 2006, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Shardlow Business Park, Shardlow, Derbyshire, DE72 2GD, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- United Nations (2003) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), New York and Geneva.